North Dakota Public Service Commission Greenhouse Gas **Regulation Symposium** January 22, 2014 Eric J. Olsen Vice President and General Counsel **Great River Energy** 



#### **Great River Energy at a Glance**

- 28 member cooperatives 1.7 million consumers
- 4<sup>th</sup> largest G&T in the nation
  - \$3.7 billion total assets
  - \$2.8 billion total debt
  - \$921.2 million revenue
- 880+ employees (MN and ND)
- 3,619 MW generation
  - 468 MW wind
- 4,600+ miles transmission





#### Great River Energy's Members Rely on North Dakota Coal Plants

- Coal Creek Station 1140 MW
- Stanton Station 188 MW
- Spiritwood Station
  99 MW
- 70% of GRE's energy comes from coal
- GRE's North Dakota coal-fired plants are the economic foundation for our members' affordable rates



Greenhouse Gas Regulation Poses a Fundamental Business Risk for GRE and Our Members

- Reliability: GRE is a MISO member; MISO region depends on coal
- Affordability: GRE has over \$1 billion in undepreciated investment in ND coal plants
- Employment: GRE has over 400 direct jobs in ND power plants; MN benefits greatly from affordable, coal-based power



# Great River Energy's Response to the Risk

- GRE's board took action to prepare for GHG regulation
  - Reduce stranded investment risk by depreciating Coal Creek and Stanton by 2028
  - $\circ$  Reduce CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
  - Reduce reliance on coal
- GRE board directed management to engage in the development of GHG regulations to protect our members



# Great River Energy's Engagement Activities

- National Rural Electric Cooperative Association; Lignite Energy Council
- Midwest Power Sector Collaborative
  - Diverse group led by Great Plains Institute
  - Members include: North Dakota-based utilities; regulators from MN, MI, IL and KY; environmental NGO's
  - Principles for development of EPA standards
    - Maximum state flexibility
    - Maintain reliability and affordability while reducing CO<sub>2</sub> emissions
    - Recognition for early action
    - Support harmonization across state boundaries



# A Suggestion for a Marketbased Regional Approach

- Establish a target for CO<sub>2</sub> emissions for the MISO region
  - Set by negotiation between ISO states and EPA
  - $\circ~$  No caps on plants or utilities
- ISO optimizes for reliability, cost, CO<sub>2</sub> and emissions
- Carbon price set by ISO to meet the target
- Carbon price/ton CO<sub>2</sub> is charged to generators; carbon revenues collected by ISO and refunded to load based on MWHs



#### **Advantages of ISO Approach**

- Optimization ensures <u>focus on reliability</u> and cost
- <u>Avoids direct control</u> of plant emissions, <u>maximizing efficiencies</u>
- <u>Best plants continue to operate; coal</u> <u>states benefit</u> from region-wide CO<sub>2</sub> reductions
- Applies an efficient <u>market-based carbon</u> price with no government tax GREAT RIVER ENERGY®